

## AMENDMENT (IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE) TO H. CON. RES. \_\_\_\_, AS REPORTED OFFERED BY MR. SPRATT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004.
POR PROMIS TERMS 2002
The Congress declares that the concurrent resolution
on the budget for fiscal year 2004 is hereby established
and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years
2003 and 2005 through 2013 are hereby set forth.
TITLE I—RECOMMENDED
LEVELS AND AMOUNTS
SEC. 101. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.
The following budgetary levels are appropriate for
each of fiscal years 2003 through 2013:
(1) Federal revenues.—For purposes of the
enforcement of this resolution:
(A) The recommended levels of Federal
revenues are as follows:
Fiscal year 2003
\$ 1,272,734,000,000 .
Fiscal year 2004

1	Fiscal	year	2005:
2	\$ <u>1,612,826,000,00</u> 0	)	
3	Fiscal	year	2006:
4	\$ <u>1,753,572,000,000</u> .		
5	Fiscal	year	2007:
6	\$ <i>1<sub>1</sub>871,037,000,00</i> 0		
7	Fiscal	year	2008:
8	\$ <i>1,988,889,000,00</i> 0.		
9	Fiscal	year	2009:
10	\$ 2,106,276,000,000.		
11	Fiscal	year	2010:
12	\$ 2,234,002,000,000	9	
13	Fiscal	year	2011:
14	\$ 2,454,496,000,000	9	
15	Fiscal	year	2012:
16	\$ 2,638,779,000,000	2	
17	Fiscal	year	2013:
18	\$ <u>2779,210,000,00</u>	<b>10</b>	
19	(B)(i) The amounts	by which th	e aggre-
20	gate levels of Federal re	evenues shoule	d be re-
21	duced for the following f	fiscal years ar	e as fol-
22	lows:		
23	Fiscal	year	2003:
24	\$ 87,100,000,000		

1	Fiscal	year	2005:
2	\$ 4,200,000,000.		
3	·	year	2012:
4	\$ 11,000,000,000.		
5	Fiscal	year	2013:
6	\$ <i>25,000,000,000</i> .		
7	(ii) The amounts by	which	the aggregate
8	levels of Federal revenues	s shoul	d be increased
9	for the following fiscal year	rs are	as follows:
10	Fiscal	year	2004:
11	\$ 15,900,000,00 <b>0</b> .		
12	Fiscal	year	2006:
13	\$12,900,000,000		
14	Fiscal	year	2007:
15	\$ 17,871,000,000	•	
16	Fiscal	year	2008:
17	\$26,912,000,000	•	
18	Fiscal	year	2009:
19	\$ 27,946,000,000	<u>7</u> .	
20	Fiscal	year	2010:
21	\$ 40,960,000,000	<u>.</u> .	
22	Fiscal	year	2011:
23	\$ 27,000,000,000	· ·	

1	(2) New budget authority.—For purposes
2	of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate
3	levels of total new budget authority are as follows:
4	Fiscal year 2003: \$/1831,543,000,000
5	Fiscal year 2004: \$ 1,867,617,000,000
6	Fiscal year 2005: \$_1,977,048,000,000
7	Fiscal year 2006: \$2,105,672,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 2,222,302,009,000
9	Fiscal year 2008: \$2,336,955,000,000
10	Fiscal year 2009: \$ 2,442,535,000,000
11	Fiscal year 2010: \$2,550,402,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2011: \$2,681,736,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2012: \$ 2,770,347,020,000
14	Fiscal year 2013: \$ <b>2/896/957,009000</b>
15	(3) Budget outlays.—For purposes of the
16	enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels
17	of total budget outlays are as follows:
18	Fiscal year 2003: \$/ <sub>1</sub> 8/8 <sub>1</sub> 3/5,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2004: \$/\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
20	Fiscal year 2005: \$/963,008,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2006: \$2,071,052,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2007: \$2/84,699,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2008: \$2,300,905,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2009: \$2,413,004,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2010: \$ <b>-2,525,322,000,000</b> .

1	Fiscal year 2011: \$2/663/603,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 2012: \$2,737,816,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2013: \$ <b>2,873,559,000,000</b>
4	(4) Deficits (on-budget).—For purposes of
5	the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of
6	the deficits (on-budget) are as follows:
7	Fiscal year 2003: \$ 545,581,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2004: \$375,832,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2005: \$350,182,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2006: \$317,480,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 3/3,662,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2008: \$_3/2,0/6,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2009: \$ 306,728,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2010: \$ 29/,320,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2011: \$ 209,/08,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2012: \$ 99,037,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2013: \$ 94,349,000,000.
18	(5) Debt subject to limit.—Pursuant to
19	section 301(a)(5) of the Congressional Budget Act
20	of 1974, the appropriate levels of the public debt are
21	as follows:
22 «	Fiscal year 2003: \$ 6,783,510,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2004: \$7,238,529,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2005: \$-7,695289,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2006: \$ <u>\$,/40,057,000,000</u> .

1	Fiscal year 2007: \$\frac{\mathbb{8}\frac{582}{792}\frac{500}{000}
2	Fiscal year 2008: \$ 9,027,564,000,000
3	Fiscal year 2009: \$9,468,646,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2010: \$ 4,898,898,000,000
5	Fiscal year 2011: \$/0,250,582,000,000
6	Fiscal year 2012: \$ <i>[0,498,763,000,000</i> ]
7	Fiscal year 2013: \$ <i>10,743,438,000,0</i> 00
8	(6) Debt held by the public.—The appro-
9	priate levels of debt held by the public are as follows:
10	Fiscal year 2003: \$ <i>3,954,/43,000,000</i> .
11	Fiscal year 2004: \$4,153,648,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2005: \$ 4, 3/7, 0/4,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2006: \$4,435,047,000,000
14	Fiscal year 2007: \$4,526,162,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2008: \$_4,594,816,000,000
16	Fiscal year 2009: \$_4,638,044,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2010: \$\frac{4,646,359,000,000}{}
18	Fiscal year 2011: \$_4,553,659,000,000
19	Fiscal year 2012: \$4,335,482,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2013: \$ <i>4,097,406,000,000</i> .
21	SEC. 102. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
22	The Congress determines and declares that the ap-
23	propriate levels of new budget authority and outlays for
24	fiscal years 2003 through 2013 for each major functional
25	category are:

1	(1) National Defense (050):
2	Fiscal year 2003:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	\$ <u>392,494,000,000</u> .
5	(B) Outlays, \$ 386,229,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2004:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$ <i>400,546,000,00</i> 0.
9	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>400,916,000,000</u>
10	Fiscal year 2005:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$ <u>420,071,000,000</u> .
13	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>444,237,000,000</u> .
14	Fiscal year 2006:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$ 440, 185, 000, 000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$_426,011,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2007:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ 460,435,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$ 438,656,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2008:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ <u>480,886,000,000</u> .
25	(B) Outlays, \$ 462,861,000,000.

1	Fiscal year	2009:		
2	(A)	New	budget	authority,
3	\$ <u>490,817,</u>	000,000		
4	(B) O	utlays, \$_	478,499,00	00,000.
5	Fiscal year	2010:		
6	(A)	New	budget	authority,
7	\$ 500,590,	,000,000	<u>2</u> .	
8	(B) O	utlays, \$_	491,801,0	000,000.
9	Fiscal year	r 2011:		
10	(A)	New	budget	authority,
11	\$ <u>511,603</u> ,	000,000	<u>)</u> .	
12	(B) C	outlays, \$	507,486,0	00,000.
13	Fiscal year	r 2012:		
14	(A)	New	budget	authority,
15	\$ 522,781	•		
16	(B) (	Outlays, \$	511,780,0	000,000.
17	Fiscal yea	r 2013:		
18	(A)	New	budget	authority,
19	\$ <u>534,32</u>			
20	(B) (	Outlays, \$	528,178,	000,000.
21	(2) Internation	nal Affair	s (150):	
22	Fiscal year	ar 2003:		
23	(A)	New	budget	authority,
24	\$ 22,504	•		
25	(B)	Outlays, S	19,283,00	0,000

1	Fiscal year 2004:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$ 24,893,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$ <b>13,808,000,000</b> .
5	Fiscal year 2005:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$ 28,822,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 24,283,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2006:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ 31,349,000,000 .
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 25,799,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2007:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 32,591,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 27,646,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2008:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <i>33,557,000,000</i> .
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <b>28,719,000,000</b> .
21	Fiscal year 2009:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>34,329,000,000</u> .
24	(B) Outlays, \$ <b>29,8/8,000,000</b> .
25	Fiscal year 2010:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 35,150,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$_30,743,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2011:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 36,001,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>31,590,000,000</u> .
8	Fiscal year 2012:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$36,845,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 32,408,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2013:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <i>31,699,000,000</i> .
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 33,274,000,000.
16	(3) General Science, Space, and Technology
17	(250):
18	Fiscal year 2003:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ 23,153,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$ <b>21,556,000,000</b> .
22	Fiscal year 2004:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ 23,525,000,000 .
25	(B) Outlays, \$ 22,848,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 2005:	
2	(A) New budget	authority,
3	\$ <u>24,330,000,000</u>	
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 23,618,000	0,000.
5	Fiscal year 2006:	
6	(A) New budget	authority,
7	\$ 25,112,000,000.	
8	(B) Outlays, \$_24,316,0	00,000.
9	Fiscal year 2007:	
10	(A) New budget	authority,
11	\$ 25,949,000,000.	
12	(B) Outlays, \$25,097,00	00 <u>,000</u> .
13	Fiscal year 2008:	
14	(A) New budget	authority,
15	\$ 26,722,000,000.	
16	(B) Outlays, \$25,833,00	0,000.
17	Fiscal year 2009:	
18	(A) New budget	authority,
19	\$ <u>27,350,000,000</u> .	
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 26,528,	<i>000,000</i> .
21	Fiscal year 2010:	
22	(A) New budget	authority,
23	\$ <i>28,006,000,000</i> .	
24	(B) Outlays, \$_27/83/	000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2011:	

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 28,687,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 27,847,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2012:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <i>29,372,000,000</i> .
7	(B) Outlays, \$_28,520,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2013:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <i>30,062,000,000</i> .
11	(B) Outlays, \$_29,198,000,000.
12	(4) Energy (270):
13	Fiscal year 2003:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 2,074,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>439,000,000</u> .
17	Fiscal year 2004:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <i>2,587,000,000</i> .
20	(B) Outlays, \$_929,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2005:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$2,710,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>962,000,000</i>
25	Fiscal year 2006:

1	(A) New budget authority,
1	
2	\$ 2,613,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,245,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2007:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <i>2,432,000,000</i>
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,023,000,000 .
8	Fiscal year 2008:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$2,988,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>/,402,000,000</u> .
12	Fiscal year 2009:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>2,977,000,000</u> .
15	(B) Outlays, \$_1,663,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2010:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 3,086,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,784,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2011:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$3,182,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$
24	Fiscal year 2012:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <i>3,289,000,000</i> .
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <b>2,319,000,000</b> .
4	Fiscal year 2013:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 3,402,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$_2,295,000,000
8	(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
9	Fiscal year 2003:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$30,816,000,600.
12	(B) Outlays, \$_28,940,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$32,894,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 31,212,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <i>33,589,000,000</i>
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>32,403,000,000</i> .
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <i>34,567,000,000</i> .
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 33,991,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2007:

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ <i>35,393,</i>	000,000	).	
3	(B) O	utlays, \$_	34,735,00	0,000.
4	Fiscal year	2008:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$36,272,	•		
7	(B) O	utlays, \$_	35,424,0	00,000.
8	Fiscal year	2009:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 37,690,	000,000	<u>2</u> .	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$	36,735,0	00 <i>0, 0</i> 00
12	Fiscal year	r 2010:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ <i>38,838,6</i>	000,000	<u>.</u> .	
15	(B) C	Outlays, \$	37,845	000,000
16	Fiscal yea	r 2011:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 39,958	,000,000	<u>&gt;</u> .	
19	(B) (	Outlays, \$	38,956,	000,000
20	Fiscal yea	ar 2012:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ 40,980	0,000,000	<u>o</u> .	
23	(B) (	Outlays, §	39,945,0	00,000.
24	Fiscal yea	ar 2013:		

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 42,003,	000,000	2.	
3	(B) O	utlays, \$_	41,032,0	00,000.
4	(6) Agriculture	(350):		
5	Fiscal year	2003:		
6	(A)	New	budget	authority,
7	\$ <u>24,418,6</u>			
8	(B) O	utlays, \$	23,365,0	00,000.
9	Fiscal year	r 2004:		
10	(A)	New	budget	authority,
11	\$ 25,212,	,000,000	2.	
12	(B) C	Outlays, \$	23,909,0	000,000
13	Fiscal yea	r 2005:		
14	(A)	New	budget	authority,
15	\$ 27,27	2,000,00	<u>v</u> .	
16	(B) (	Outlays, \$	26,047,	000,000.
17	Fiscal yea	r 2006:		
18	(A)	New	budget	authority,
19	\$ 27,129	000,000	<u>)                                    </u>	
20	(B) (	Outlays, \$	25,934,	000,000.
21	Fiscal yea	ar 2007:		
22	(A)	New	budget	authority,
23	\$ 24,681			
24	(B) (	Outlays, \$	25,521,	000,000.
25	Fiscal yea	ar 2008:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 25,911,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 24,772,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2009:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 26,510,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 25,534,600,000
8	Fiscal year 2010:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 25,979,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$_25,/36,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2011:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ 25,441,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$_24,617,000,000
16	Fiscal year 2012:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 25,038,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 24,230,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2013:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ 24,777,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$_ <b>23</b> ,965,000,000
24	(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
25	Fiscal year 2003:

1	$(\Delta)$	New	budget	authority,
	,			a occircing,
2	\$ 8,812,000			
3	(B) Out	اعرام lays, \$	5,881,000,	<i>000</i>
4	Fiscal year 2	2004:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 7,513,000	7,000		
7	(B) Out	tlays, \$_	3,588, 000	,000
8	Fiscal year 2	2005:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ <i>8,795,00</i> 0	,000	٠.	
11	(B) Our	tlays, \$_	4,062,000	0,000
12	Fiscal year	2006:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ <i>8,795,000</i>	1,000	_·	
15	(B) Ou	tlays, \$_	3,580,000	, 0 <i>00</i>
16	Fiscal year	2007:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 8,687,00	0,000	•	
19	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$	3,365,00	0,000.
20	Fiscal year	2008:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ 8,798,0	000 000	•	
23	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$	2,575,0	00,000.
24	Fiscal year	2009:		

1	(.	A)	New	budget	authority,
2			0,000		
3	(	B) Ou	tlays, \$_	2,123,000	,000
4	Fisca	l year	2010:		
5	(	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$_9/C	065,00	000,000	.•	
7		(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$_	2,468,000,	,000.
8	Fisca	l year	2011:		
9		(A)	New	budget	authority,
10			000,000		
11		(B) O	utlays, \$_	2,086,000	0,000.
12	Fisca	al year	2012:		
13		(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ <b>9</b> ,		000,000		
15		(B) O	utlays, \$	1,708,00	0,000.
16	Fisc	al year	2013:		
17		(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ <u>9</u> ,	556,0	000,00	<u>0</u> .	
19		(B) C	utlays, \$	1,878,0	190,000.
20	(8) Tran	sporta	tion (400	)):	
21	Fise	al yea	r 2003:		
22		(A)	New	budget	authority,
23	\$ 6	4,091,	000,00	2.	
24		(B) (	Outlays, 8	67,847,0	00,000.
25	Fisc	al yea	r 2004:		

1	(A) New budget authority	,
2	\$ <u>66,467,000,000</u> .	
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>69,384,000,000</u> .	
4	Fiscal year 2005:	
5	(A) New budget authority	,
6	\$ 67,565,000,000.	
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>68,819,000,00</i> 0	
8	Fiscal year 2006:	
9	(A) New budget authority	У,
10	\$ 68,782,000,000	
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>69,399,000,000</u>	
12	Fiscal year 2007:	
13	(A) New budget authorit	у,
14	\$ 70,053,000,000.	
15	(B) Outlays, \$_70,734,000,000	
16	Fiscal year 2008:	
17	(A) New budget authorit	y,
18	\$ 71,238,000,000.	
19	(B) Outlays, \$_72,318,000,000	
20	Fiscal year 2009:	
21	(A) New budget authorit	y,
22	\$ 72,512,000,00D.	
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 74,025,000,000	
24	Fiscal year 2010:	

1		(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	Ş	73,783,0	000,000	<b>.</b> •	
3		(B) O	utlays, \$_	75,812,00	00,000
4	]	Fiscal year	2011:		
5	,	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	•	\$ 75,585,	000,000	<u>2</u> .	
7		(B) O	utlays, \$	77,692,0	000,000
8		Fiscal year	c 2012:		
9		(A)	New	budget	authority,
10		\$ <i>77,386</i>	,000,00	0	
11		(B) (	Outlays, \$	79,690,	000,000
12		Fiscal yea	r 2013:		
13		(A)			authority,
14		\$ 79,263			
15		(B) (	Outlays, §	81,732	,000,000
16	(9)	Communi	ty and	Regional	Development
17	(450):				
18		Fiscal year	ar 2003:		
19		(A)	New	budget	authority,
20		\$ 12,251			
21		(B)	Outlays,	\$ <i>15,994</i>	,000,000
22		Fiscal year	ar 2004:		
23		(A)		budget	authority,
24		\$ 14,93	•		
25		(B)	Outlays.	\$ 16,225	,000,000

1	Fiscal year 2005:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$ 15,128,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$_16,479,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2006:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$ 15,429,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 15,754,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2007:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ <u>15,759,000,000</u> .
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 15,674,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2008:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 16,152,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 15,256,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2009:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 16,519,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 15,565,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2010:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>16,906,000,000</u> .
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 15,914,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2011:

1	(4	4)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$_ <i>17,3</i>	306,0	00,000.		
3	(]	B) Out	tlays, \$ <u>/</u> 4	4,300,00C	,000.
4	Fiscal	year 2	2012:		
5	(.	A)	New	budget	authority,
6		•	000,000		
7	(	B) Ou	tlays, \$\(\frac{1}{2}\)	6,676,000	0,000.
8	Fiscal	l year	2013:		
9	. (	<b>A</b> )	New	budget	authority,
10	•		00,000		
11	(	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$_	17,079,000	000
12	(10) Edu	cation	, Traini	ng, Employ	yment, and
13	Social Services	(500)	:		
14			2003:		
15					authority,
16	\$ <u>82</u> ,	699,0	000,000		
17		(B) O	utlays, \$_	81,455,00	10,000.
18	Fisca	al year	2004:		
19				budget	authority,
20	\$ <u>84</u>	231,	000,000	<u>2</u> .	_
21		(B) O	utlays, \$	86,741,	<u>000,000</u> .
22	Fisc	al year	r 2005:		
23		(A)		budget	authority,
24	\$ <b>9</b>	, ,	, 000, 00		
25		(B) (	Outlays, \$	90,153,	<u> 900,000</u> .

1	Fiscal year 2006:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$ <u>92,372,000,0</u> 00
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 91, 751,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2007:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$ <i>94, 186,000,000</i>
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 93, 333,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2008:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ <u>96,078,000,000</u>
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 95, 182,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2009:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ <u>98,047,000,000</u>
16	(B) Outlays, \$97,090,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2010:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 100, 149,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 99, 155,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2011:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 102, 497,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 101, 344,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2012:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 104, 761,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 103, 610,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2013:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$107,105,000 acc.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 105, 956, 000, 000.
8	(11) Health (550):
9	Fiscal year 2003:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$231,653,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$227,796,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$238,353,000,000
16	(B) Outlays, \$236,574,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>253,424,000,000</u> .
20	(B) Outlays, \$253,184,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$271,423,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$270,524,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2007:

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ <b>292,42</b>	3,000,0	00.	
3	(B) Ou	utlays, \$₂	290,938,	<i>600,</i> 600.
4	Fiscal year	2008:	ŕ	
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <i>314,332</i>	3,000,00	<u>2</u> .	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$,	312,907,0	100 <u>,000</u> .
8	Fiscal year	2009:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ <i>337,33</i>	8,000,000	2.	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$	335,970,	<u>000,000</u> .
12	Fiscal year	2010:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ <i>363,416</i>	2,000,00	<u>D</u> .	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$	360,992	1,000,000
16	Fiscal year	2011:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ <i>391,47</i>	6,000,00	<b>X</b> )	
19	(B) O	utlays, \$	389,861,0	000,000 .
20	Fiscal year	c 2012:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ <u>422,084</u>	, 000, DC	<u>V</u> ).	
23	(B) C	utlays, \$	420,023,	000,000.
24	Fiscal year	r 2013:		

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 455,673	3,000,00	Ø	
3	(B) Ou	tlays, \$4	453,522,0	<u>00,000</u> .
4	(12) Medicare (5	570):		
5	Fiscal year	2003:		
6	(A)	New	budget	authority,
7	\$248,586	, 000, 00	<u> </u>	
8	(B) Ou	ıtlays, 🎭	248,434,	000,000
9	Fiscal year	2004:		
10	(A)	New	budget	authority,
11	\$261,150			
12	(B) Or	ıtlays, \$	262,022.0	<u>000,000</u> .
13	Fiscal year	2005:		
14	(A)	New	budget	authority,
15	\$ <i>276,</i> 02=	•		
16	(B) O	utlays, \$	<i>218,953,</i>	000,000.
17	Fiscal year	2006:		
18	, ,		budget	authority,
19	\$ 319,26.			
20	(B) O	utlays, \$	316,006,	000 <u>,000</u> .
21	Fiscal year	2007:		
22			budget	authority,
23	\$ <u>351,57</u>	•		
24	(B) O	utlays, §	351,822	<u>,000,000</u> .
25	Fiscal year	r 2008:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <i>379.712,000,00</i> 0.
3	(B) Outlays, \$379,565,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2009:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <i>409,822,000,0</i> 00.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>409,353,000,000</u> .
8	Fiscal year 2010:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>441,465,000,000</u> .
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>442,719,000,0</i> 00
12	Fiscal year 2011:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>484,282,000,0</u> 0
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>481,635,000,000</u>
16	Fiscal year 2012:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <u>522,221,000,000</u> .
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <b>5/8,390,000,000</b> .
20	Fiscal year 2013:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <i>565,544,000,0</i> 00.
23	(B) Outlays, \$565,794,000,000.
24	(13) Income Security (600):
25	Fiscal year 2003:

1	$(\mathbf{A})$	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 322,0	74,000,0	<u>10</u> 0.	
3	(B)	Outlays, \$	329,797	<u>,000,020.</u>
4	Fiscal y	rear 2004:		
5	(A)	) New	budget	authority,
6		,458,000		
7	(B	) Outlays,	\$ <i>324,488</i>	8,000,000.
8	Fiscal y	year 2005:		
9	(A	) New	budget	authority,
10	\$ <i>33</i> 2	122,000,	0 <i>0</i> 0.	
11	(B	3) Outlays,	\$ <i>333,68</i>	9,000,000.
12	Fiscal	year 2006:		
13	(A	New	budget	authority,
14		968,000,0		
15	(F	3) Outlays,	\$342,309	<u>/ 000,00</u> 0.
16	Fiscal	year 2007:		
17	(A	New	budget	authority,
18		,004,000,0		
19	(I	3) Outlays,	\$350,185	5,000,00a
20	Fiscal	year 2008:	:	
21	(1	A) New	budget	authority,
22	\$ 365	7,022,000	),800	
23	(]	B) Outlays	, \$362,75	67,000,000.
24		year 2009		

1	(A) New budget	authority,
2	\$ <i>373,427,000,0</i> 00	
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>314,367,0</i>	00,000
4	Fiscal year 2010:	
5	(A) New budget	authority,
6	\$ <i>386,204,000,0</i> 00.	
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>387,392,00</u>	0,000.
8	Fiscal year 2011:	
9	(A) New budget	authority,
10	\$ <i>403,612,000,00</i> 0.	
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>404,893,0</i>	00,000
12	Fiscal year 2012:	
13	(A) New budget	authority,
14	\$ <i>395,443,000,0</i> 00.	
15	(B) Outlays, \$396,952,	000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2013:	
17	(A) New budget	authority,
18	\$ <i>410,730,000,000</i> .	
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>4/2,518,60</i>	00,000.
20	(14) Social Security (650):	
21	Fiscal year 2003:	
22	(A) New budget	authority,
23	\$ <i>13,255,000,00</i> 0.	
24	(B) Outlays, \$13,255,00	<u>0,000</u> .
25	Fiscal year 2004:	

1	(A) N	ew	budget	authority,
2	\$ <u>14,345,00</u>			
3	(B) Outla	ys, \$ <u>/</u>	4,282,0	100, 000
4	Fiscal year 20	05:		
5	(A) N	lew	budget	authority,
6	\$15,467,000	1,000 .		
7	(B) Outla	ıys, \$ <b>/</b>	5,431,0	100,000.
8	Fiscal year 20	06:		
9	(A) N	lew	budget	authority,
10	\$ <i>16,591,000</i>			
11	(B) Outla	ays, \$∠	6,568,0	100, 000.
12	Fiscal year 20	)07:		
13	(A) I	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ <i>18,117,000,</i>	.000	<u>.</u> .	·
15	(B) Outl	ays, \$_	18,099,	0 <i>00,00</i>
16	Fiscal year 2	008:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$20,01 <b>1,</b> 0	00,000	<u>Q</u>	
19	(B) Out	lays, \$	19,994,	<i>000,000</i> .
20	Fiscal year 2	009:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$22,21 <b>3</b> ,	000,00	0 <u>0</u> .	
23	(B) Out	lays, \$	22,197,0	<u>100,000</u> .
24	Fiscal year 2	2010:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>24,511,000,600</u> .
3	(B) Outlays, \$24,494,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2011:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>28,395,000,000</u>
7	(B) Outlays, \$28,376,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2012:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>31,615,000,000</u> .
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 31,596,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2013:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$34,679,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 34, 660,000,000.
16	(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
17	Fiscal year 2003:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <i>57,597,000,00</i> 0.
20	(B) Outlays, \$57,486,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2004:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 62,200,000,00 <b>0</b>
24	(B) Outlays, \$61,665,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2005:

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$67,684,	000,000	<b>-</b> •	
3	(B) O	utlays, \$ <u>4</u>	66,860,0	000,000
4	Fiscal year	2006:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 65, 8/4,			
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	65,606,	<u>100,000</u> .
8	Fiscal year	r 2007:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$64,709	9,000,00	) <i>(</i> ).	
11	(B) C	outlays, \$	64,288,	<u> </u>
12	Fiscal yea	r 2008:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ <u>68,810</u>	,000,00	<b>2</b> .	
15	(B) (	Outlays, \$	:68,612,0	000,000.
16	Fiscal year	r 2009:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ <i>70,49</i>			
19	(B) (	Outlays, §	70,236,	<u>000,000</u> .
20	Fiscal year	ar 2010:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ <i>72,28</i>	2,000,0	<u>20</u> .	
23	(B)	Outlays,	\$ <i>71,975,0</i>	000,000 .
24	Fiscal ye	ar 2011:		

1	(A	<b>r</b> )	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ <u>77,</u> (	34,	000,000		
3	(H	3) Ou	tlays, \$_	76,712 00	00 <u>,000</u> .
4	Fiscal	year	2012:		
5	(1	A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <i>74,</i>	059,	800,000	).	
7	(I	3) Ot	ıtlays, \$2	73,550,00	00,000.
8	Fiscal	year	2013:		
9	(1	<b>A</b> )	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 78,	960,	000,000		
11	(]	B) Oı	utlays, \$_	78,515,00	00 <u>,000</u> .
12	(16) Admir	nistra	tion of J	ustice (750)	):
13	Fiscal	year	2003:		
14					authority,
15			3,000 <i>,0</i> 00		
16	(.	B) O	utlays, \$ <sub>\$</sub>	37,712,0	00,000.
17	Fiscal	year	2004:		
18	`	A)			authority,
19	\$ <i>4</i> /	193,	000,000	<u>)</u> .	
20	(	B) O	utlays, \$	40,631,0	00,000.
21	Fiscal	l year	2005:		
22	(	(A)	New	budget	authority,
23			f, 000,00		
24	(	(B) O	utlays, \$	40,424,	<i>900, 000</i> .
25			c 2006:		

	(A) NI landmot outhority
1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <i>40,192,000,000</i> .
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>40,133,000,000</i> .
4	Fiscal year 2007:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <i>40,927,000,00</i> 0.
7	(B) Outlays, \$40,510,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2008:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <i>42,140,</i> 006,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 4/, 668,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2009:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <i>43,421,000,000</i> .
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>42,905,000,000</u> .
16	Fiscal year 2010:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <u>44,752,<b>0</b>00,</u> 000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 44, 211,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2011:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <i>46,131,000,0</i> 00.
23	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>45,577,000,000</i> .
24	Fiscal year 2012:

1	(A	•		budget	authority,
2			000,000		
3	(B	) Ou	tlays, \$_	46,971,00	5 <i>0,0</i> 00.
4	Fiscal	year 2	2013:		
5	(A	r)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <u>48</u> 9	87,0	100,000		
7	(H	3) Ou	tlays, \$2	48,414,00	<u>0,000</u> .
8	(17) Genera	al Go	vernmen	t (800):	
9	Fiscal	year	2003:		
10	`	•		budget	authority,
11			00, 600		
12	(1	3) Ot	ıtlays, \$	18,103,a	<u> 20,000</u> .
13	Fiscal	year	2004:		
14		<b>A</b> )			authority,
15	\$20	255	,000,00	<u>V</u> .	
16	()	B) O	utlays, \$	19,820,0	000,000
17	Fiscal	year	2005:		
18	_	,		budget	authority,
19			00900		
20	(	B) O	utlays, \$	20,677,	<u>000, 000</u> .
21	Fisca	l year	2006:		
22	· ·	(A)		budget	authority,
23	•	•	000,00		
24	(	(B) O	utlays, §	20,384	<i>000,00</i> 0.
25	Fisca	l year	r 2007:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$20,842,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$20,533,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2008:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$20,920,000,000.
-	(B) Outlays, \$20,646,000,000.
7	
8	Fiscal year 2009:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$21,619,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$21,138,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2010:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <i>22,361,000,00</i> 0
15	(B) Outlays, \$21,835,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2011:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <i>23,110,0</i> 00,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$22,560,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2012:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>023,905,000,000</u> .
23	(B) Outlays, \$23,489,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2013:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <i>24,714,000,0</i> 00.
3	(B) Outlays, \$24,121,000,000.
4	(18) Net Interest (900):
5	Fiscal year 2003:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$240,447,000,000
8	(B) Outlays, \$240,447,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2004:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$2 <i>57,374,000,0</i> 00
12	(B) Outlays, \$257,374,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2005:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ <i>300,930,000,00</i> 0
16	(B) Outlays, \$300,930,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2006:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>335,137,000,000</u>
20	(B) Outlays, \$335, 137, 000, 000
21	Fiscal year 2007:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>357,478,000,000</u>
24	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>357,478,000,000</i>
25	Fiscal year 2008:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>377,426,000,00</u> 0
3	(B) Outlays, \$377,426,000,00
4	Fiscal year 2009:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$396,894,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>396,894,000,000</i> .
8	Fiscal year 2010:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>4/4,220,000,000</u>
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>4/4,220,000,000</u>
12	Fiscal year 2011:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>430, 321,000,000.</u>
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>#30, 321, 000, 600</u> .
16	Fiscal year 2012:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <u>442,545,000,000</u>
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>442,545,000,000</u> .
20	Fiscal year 2013:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>449,801,000,000</u> .
23	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>449,801,000,000</i> .
24	(19) Allowances (920):
25	Fiscal year 2003:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$.39,000,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$39,000,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2004:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 4800,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 4,800,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2005:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <i>4,900,000,0</i> 00.
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>4,900,000,000</i> .
12	Fiscal year 2006:
13	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$ 4,000,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>4,000,000,000</u> .
	Fiscal year 2007:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$ 6,600,000,000.
18	* <u>6,600,000</u> . (B) Outlays, \$ <u>6,600,000,000</u> .
19	• • •
20	Fiscal year 2008:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$6,519,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>6,5/9,000,000</u> .
24	Fiscal year 2009:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>4,174,000,000</u> .
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 4,174,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2010:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>4,329,000,000</u> .
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 4,329,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2011:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$4,634,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 4,634,600,000.
12	Fiscal year 2012:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <del>2,440,000,000</del> .
15	(B) Outlays, \$2,440,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2013:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$2,796,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$2,796,000,000
20	(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
21	Fiscal year 2003:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>-41,104,000,000</u> .
24	(B) Outlays, \$4/, 104,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2004:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>-42,894,000,000</u> .
3	(B) Outlays, \$ -42,894,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2005:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$-52,598,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-52,598,000,000</u>
8	Fiscal year 2006:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ -54, 459,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-54,459,000,000</u> .
12	Fiscal year 2007:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <i>-51,535,000,1</i> 00
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-5/,535,000,000</u>
16	Fiscal year 2008:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$-53,540,000,000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-53,540,000,000</u>
20	Fiscal year 2009:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <i>-52,609,000,000</i>
23	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-52,609,000</u>
24	Fiscal year 2010:

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ <u>-54,685,</u>	- 000,000	<u>L</u> .	
3	(B) (	Outlays, \$	-54,685,00	<u>00,000</u> .
4	Fiscal yea	r 2011:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <i>-54841</i> ,	000,000	•	
7	(B) (	Outlays, \$	-56,841,00	0,000.
8	Fiscal year	ar 2012:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10		,000,000		
11	(B) (	Outlays, \$	-59,025,00	000 <u>,</u> 000 .
12	Fiscal year	ar 2013:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	•	9,000,00		
15			-61,229,00	
16	TITLE II—]	RESEI	RVE FU	NDS
17	SEC. 201. RESERVE FUN	D FOR M	EDICARE PI	RESCRIPTION
18	DRUGS.			
19	(a) Medicare Pr	ESCRIPT IO	ON DRUG E	BENEFIT.—In
20	the House, if the Com	nmittee or	n Ways and	d Means, the
21	Committee on Energy a	and Comm	erce, or bot	h committees
22	report a bill, or an ame	ndment is	offered the	reto or a con-
23	ference report thereon i	is submitt	ed, which p	rovides a pre-
24	scription drug benefit	under the	medicare	program that
25	is voluntary, equitable,	comprehe	nsive, afford	lable, depend-

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 able, protects beneficiary access to drugs, and is cost effec-
- 2 tive, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall
- 3 revise allocations and adjust aggregates in this resolution
- 4 by the amount provided by that measure for that purpose,
- 5 subject to section 203.
- 6 (b) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:
- 7 (1) The term "equitable" means that all medi-8 care beneficiaries shall receive comprehensive pre-9 scription drug coverage and that coverage shall be 10 accessible to all beneficiaries regardless of where 11 they live.
  - (2) The term "comprehensive, affordable, and dependable" means that all beneficiaries shall have access to a drug benefit that contains a defined benefit and premium and coverage at all levels of drug spending, is administered through a stable and dependable delivery system so that beneficiaries will not lose coverage or face significant premium increases from one year to the next, and provides additional assistance with premiums and cost sharing to low-income beneficiaries.
  - (3) The term "protects beneficiary access to drugs" means that the benefit shall include coverage for all medically necessary drugs and shall preserve access to local pharmacies.

1	(4) The term "cost effective" means that the
2	benefit shall include measures that lower the cost of
3	prescription drugs and not include measures that
4	would encourage employers to drop existing retiree
5	$\operatorname{coverage}$ .
6 8	SEC. 202. RESERVE FUND FOR HEALTH INSURANCE COV-
7	ERAGE FOR THE UNINSURED.
8	In the House, if the Committee on Ways and Means,
9	the Committee on Energy and Commerce, or both commit-
	tees report a bill, or an amendment is offered thereto or
	a conference report thereon is submitted, that would pro-
12	vide affordable, comprehensive health insurance coverage
13	to the uninsured and builds upon and strengthens public
14	and private coverage, including preventing the erosion of
15	existing coverage under medicaid, the chairman of the
16	Committee on the Budget shall revise allocations and ad-
17	just aggregates and in this resolution by the amount pro-
18	vided by that measure for that purpose, subject to section
19	203.
20	SEC. 203. TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS TO ALLOW FOR MEDICARE
21	PRESCRIPTION DRUG BENEFIT AND HEALTH
22	INSURANCE COVERAGE.
23	The total of adjustments allowed under sections 201
24	and 202 shall not increase the cumulative deficit or de
25	crease the cumulative surplus (whether by changes in reve

6

- 1 nues or direct spending) by more than \$131,000,000,000
- 2 for the period of fiscal years 2004 through 2008 and
- 3 \$528,000,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2004
- 4 through 2013, excluding interest.
- 5 SEC. 204. CONTINGENCY PROCEDURE FOR SURFACE

TRANSPORTATION.

- 7 (a) Committee on Transportation and Infr.
- 8 STRUCTURE.—In the House, if the Committee on Tans-
- 9 portation and Infrastructure reports a bill or join resolu-
- 10 tion, or if an amendment thereto is offered or a conference
- 11 report thereon a submitted, that provides rew budget au-
- 12 thority for the budget accounts or portions thereof in the
- 13 highway and transit categories as defined in sections
- 14 250(c)(4)(B) and (C) of the Balar ced Budget and Emer-
- 15 gency Deficit Control Act 3 985 in excess of the fol-
- 16 lowing amounts:
- 17 (1) for fiscal year 2004: \$39,233,000,000,
- 18 (2) for fiscal year 2005: \$39,998,000,000,
- 19 (3) for fi cal year 2006: \$40,84,000,000,
- 20 (4) for fiscal year 2007: \$41,684,000,000, or
- 21 (5) for fiscal year 2008: \$42,605,000, 20,
- 22 and the amount of such excess in each such year is offset
- 23 by reductions in the deficit caused by such legislation or
- 24 and previously enacted legislation that changes direct
- 25 pending from, or receipts subsequently appropriated to,

- 1 the Highway Trust Fund, then the chairman of the Com-
- A mittee on the Budget may increase the allocation of nev
- 3 Judget authority for such committee by the amount of
- 4 suc excess for fiscal year 2004 and by the total amount
- 5 of such excesses for the period of fiscal years 2004
- 6 through 2008 and make the necessary offsetting adjust-
- 7 ments in the appropriate budget aggregat s and alloca-
- 8 tions.
- 9 (b) COMM TTEE ON APPROPY ATIONS.—In the
- 10 House, if the Committee on Appropriations reports a bill
- 11 or joint resolution, or if an amer ment thereto is offered
- 12 or a conference report hereo is submitted, that estab-
- 13 lishes obligation limitation that in total are in excess of
- 14 38,496,000,000 for fiscal year 2004, but not to exceed the
- 15 amount of such excess that wa offset pursuant to sub-
- 16 section (a), for pro rams, project, and activities within
- 17 the highway and ransit categories a defined in sections
- 18 250(c)(4)(B) and (C) of the Balanced Rudget and Emer-
- 19 gency Defici Control Act of 1985 and in legislation has
- 20 been enacted that satisfies the conditions set orth in sub-
- 21 section (a) for such fiscal year, then the chairman of the
- 22 Complittee on the Budget may increase the allocation of
- 23 or lays for such fiscal year for the Committee on A pro-
- 24 priations and the Committee on Transportation and Infla-
- If structure, as appropriate, by the amount of outlays that

1	cor, sponds to the increase in the allocation of new by aget
2	authority provided pursuant to subsection (a) for fiscal
3	years 2004 through 2008, but spin outlays may be allo-
4	cated only for programs and activities within the
5	highway and tansit categories as actined in sections
6	250(c)(x)(B) and (C) of the Balanced Budget as I Emer-
	gency Deficit Control Act of 1985.
8	TITLE III—SENSE OF CONGRESS
9	PROVISIONS
10	SEC. 301. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING FUNDING
11	FOR HOMELAND SECURITY.
12	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
13	(1) the President's budget includes a total of
14	\$41.3 billion for all homeland security activities for
15	2004, including mandatory, discretionary, and fee-
16	funded activities;
17	(2) the President's current budget does not con-
18	tain any additional funding for 2003 for homeland
19	security beyond what has already been provided; and
20	(3) there is need for additional homeland secu-
21	rity resources for 2003, 2004, and subsequent years
22	in order to protect our country against terrorist at-
23	tacks.
24	(b) Sense of the Congress.—It is the sense of
25	the Congress that—

1	(1) this resolution provides \$10 billion in addi-
2	tional homeland security funding for 2003, and a
3	total of \$24 billion in additional homeland security
4	funding in the years 2004-13, for a total of \$34 bil-
5	lion above the President's request over the time pe-
6	riod covered by this resolution; and
7	(2) this funding provides the resources needed
8	to train and equip our first responders, strengthen
9	the security of the Nation's transportation system
10	and other critical infrastructure, increase the pre-
11	paredness of our public health system, and secure
12	our borders.
13	SEC. 302. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING THE CON-
13 14	SEC. 302. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING THE CONSERVATION SPENDING CATEGORY.
14	SERVATION SPENDING CATEGORY.
14 15	SERVATION SPENDING CATEGORY.  (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
14 15 16	servation spending category.  (a) Findings.—Congress finds that—  (1) the fiscal year 2001 Interior Appropriations
14 15 16 17	servation spending category.  (a) Findings.—Congress finds that—  (1) the fiscal year 2001 Interior Appropriations Act (P.L. 106–291), which established a separate
14 15 16 17	servation spending category.  (a) Findings.—Congress finds that—  (1) the fiscal year 2001 Interior Appropriations Act (P.L. 106–291), which established a separate discretionary spending category for land conserva-
14 15 16 17 18	servation spending category.  (a) Findings.—Congress finds that—  (1) the fiscal year 2001 Interior Appropriations Act (P.L. 106–291), which established a separate discretionary spending category for land conserva- tion and natural resource protection programs for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	servation spending category.  (a) Findings.—Congress finds that—  (1) the fiscal year 2001 Interior Appropriations Act (P.L. 106–291), which established a separate discretionary spending category for land conserva- tion and natural resource protection programs for the fiscal years 2001 through 2006, passed by large
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	servation spending category.  (a) Findings.—Congress finds that—  (1) the fiscal year 2001 Interior Appropriations Act (P.L. 106–291), which established a separate discretionary spending category for land conservation and natural resource protection programs for the fiscal years 2001 through 2006, passed by large margins in both the House and the Senate;

1	public lands, wildlife habitats, urban parks, historic
2	and cultural landmarks, and coastal ecosystems; and
3	(3) the expiration of the provisions of law defin-
4	ing and enforcing the conservation spending cat-
5	egory was not due to a lack of Congressional support
6	for the programs included in the category or a loss
7	of desire to set aside dedicated funds for those pro-
8	grams.
9	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of the
0	Congress that the any law establishing new caps on discre-
1	tionary spending should include a separate conservation
12	spending category for fiscal years 2004, 2005, and 2006
13	and that total funding for that category for each of those
14	fiscal years should be set at the levels established in P.L.
15	106–291.
16	SEC. 303. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING CONTIN-
17	GENCY AND PRIORITY RESERVE.
18	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that this budget reso-
19	lution provides a total of \$54 billion of unallocated funds
20	that have been counted as though spent, including the con-
21	sequent cost of debt service.
22	(b) Sense of the Congress.—It is the sense of
23	Congress that the \$54 billion reserve in this resolution
24	should be considered to provide funding for any contin-
25	gencies and priorities that may arise.